

Edwin J. Richards #43855
edwin.richards@kutakrock.com
Antoinette P. Hewitt #181099
antoinette.hewitt@kutakrock.com
Christopher D. Glos, # 210877
christopher.glos@kutakrock.com
KUTAK ROCK LLP
Suite 1500
5 Park Plaza
Irvine, CA 92614-8595
Telephone: (949) 417-0999
Facsimile: (949) 417-5394

E-FILED: 06-18-2014

CLOSED

**Attorneys for Defendant
CITY OF WEST HOLLYWOOD**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANNA KIHAGI, an individual,

Plaintiff,

V.

CITY OF WEST HOLLYWOOD,
CALIFORNIA, A California public
facilities corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:14-cv-00936-PSG (JEMx)

Assigned to Hon. Philip S. Gutierrez,
District Judge, Ctrm 880 – Roybal Ctse
Hon. John E. McDermott, Magistrate
Judge, Ctrm C – LA – Spring Str Ctse

**[EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES
PURSUANT GOVERNMENT CODE
§ 6103]**

~~[PROPOSED]~~

JUDGMENT AS TO PLAINTIFF ANNA KIHAGI

Complaint Filed: February 12, 2014
Trial Date: None set

Plaintiff Anna Kihagi (“Plaintiff”) filed a Complaint alleging claims for violation of (1) the Federal Fair Housing Act, (2) the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, and (3) the West Hollywood Municipal Code section 9.28.030. Defendant City of West Hollywood (“Defendant”) responded by filing a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s Complaint pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) (Docket No. 12), and a Motion to Strike Plaintiff’s second and third claims pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 425.16 (Docket No. 11) (singularly, “Motion”, collectively, “Motions”).

1 The Court found these Motions appropriate for decision without oral
2 argument. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 78(b); L.R. 7-15.

3 Defendant's Motions were set for hearing on June 16, 2014. As a result,
4 Plaintiff's opposition briefs were due on May 23, 2014. *See* L.R. 7-9. Plaintiff did
5 not file an opposition brief for either Motion. Pursuant to Local Rule 7-12, the
6 Court deemed Plaintiff to have consented to the Motions. Plaintiff did not and has
7 not requested leave to amend.

8 After considering Defendant's unopposed moving papers, the Court granted
9 the Motions on May 28, 2014, and dismissed the Complaint without leave to
10 amend.

11 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Judgment
12 of Dismissal be entered in favor of Defendant against Plaintiff. Based on said
13 judgment, Plaintiff shall take nothing by way of the Complaint against Defendant.

14
15 Dated: June 18, 2014

PHILIP S. GUTIERREZ

Hon. Philip S. Gutierrez
United States District Judge